

Charles Morris,  
Chair  
Town of Linden

Diane Wheatley,  
Vice-Chair  
Cumberland County

Jami McLaughlin,  
Town of Spring Lake  
Harvey Cain, Jr.,  
Town of Stedman

Vacant  
Wade, Falcon & Godwin



*Planning & Inspections Department*

**MINUTES**  
December 18, 2018

Joel Strickland,  
Acting Director

Vacant,  
Deputy Director

Vikki Andrews,  
Carl Manning,  
Lori Epler,  
Cumberland County

Stan Crumpler,  
Town of Eastover

Patricia Hall,  
Town of Hope Mills

**Members Present**

Mr. Charles Morris, Chairman  
Mr. Carl Manning  
Mr. Harvey Cain Jr.  
Ms. Patricia Hall  
Mr. Stan Crumpler  
Mrs. Jamie McLaughlin  
Dr. Vikki Andrews  
Mrs. Lori Epler

**Members Absent**

Mrs. Diane Wheatley

**Others Present**

Mr. Joel Strickland  
Ms. Annie Melvin  
Ms. Patricia Speicher  
Mrs. Laverne Howard  
Mr. Rick Moorefield,  
County Attorney

I. INVOCATION AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Ms. Hall delivered the invocation and Mr. Cain led those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

II. APPROVAL OF / ADJUSTMENTS TO AGENDA

Presentation of plaque to Thomas Lloyd added to the agenda after the approval of the minutes.

Ms. Speicher advised the board that Case P18-37 would be moved to Contested Items.

Discussion of Interlocal agreement under Discussion.

**Unanimous approval of the adjustments to the agenda.**

III. PUBLIC HEARING WITHDRAWAL / DEFERRAL

There were none.

IV. ABSTENTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS

Mrs. Epler and Mr. Manning advised the board that they would need to abstain from voting on Case P18-16.

V. POLICY STATEMENT REGARDING PUBLIC HEARING TIME LIMITS

Ms. Speicher read the policy statement.

VI. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 20, 2018

**Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Manning to approve the minutes as submitted. Unanimous approval.**

VII. PRESENTATION OF PLAQUE

Chair Morris presented a plaque of appreciation to retired Director Thomas Lloyd.

VIII. PUBLIC HEARING CONSENT ITEMS

CONDITIONAL ZONING CASE

- A. **P18-16. REZONING OF 2.56+/- ACRES FROM R6A RESIDENTIAL & CD CONSERVANCY DISTRICT TO C(P) PLANNED COMMERCIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED AT THE NORTHWEST QUADRANT OF THE INTERSECTION OF SR 1118 (PARKTON ROAD) & NC 59 (SOUTH MAIN STREET), SOUTH OF ROCKFISH CREEK; SUBMITTED BY DAVID MCMILLAN (OWNER) & LORI S. EPLER ON BEHALF OF LARRY KING & ASSOCIATES (AGENT). (Applicant has modified request to rezone 95.72+/- acres to MXD Mixed Use Development/CZ Conditional Zoning) (HOPE MILLS & COUNTY)**

In Case P18-16, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from R6A Residential, R10 Residential & CD Conservancy District to MXD Mixed Use Development/CZ Conditional Zoning except for that portion located within the Special Flood Hazard Area and Floodway to be zoned CD Conservancy District subject to the conditions within our packet and find this recommendation to be generally consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) which calls for “open space, suburban residential, mixed use development, and medium density mixed housing” , the “mixed use development” designation allows for residential uses and further find approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested will require public utilities to be extended to an area not currently served and the submitted site plan shows a density in harmony with the surrounding existing zoning and land uses while preserving existing conditions in the Conservancy District.

Ms. Speicher read in the following correction:

In Case P18-16, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from R6A Residential, R10 Residential & CD Conservancy District to MXD Mixed Use Development/CZ Conditional Zoning subject to the conditions within our packet and from this recommendation to be consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan which calls for “open space, suburban residential, mixed use development, and medium density mixed housing” the “mixed use development designation” allows for residential uses as shown on the site plan and further finding approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested will require public utilities to be extended to an area not currently served and the submitted site plan shows a density in harmony with the surrounding existing zoning and land uses while preserving areas not suitable for development.

Ms. Speicher stated that the key thing was the property owner and the applicant had agreed to the staff recommendation for CD and Special Flood Hazard but with this kind of application, a mixed use is one unit, we could not have the open space removed from the mixed use. The entire site will be mixed use with the open space remaining forever.

**In Case P18-16 Mr. Cain made a motion, seconded by Dr. Andrews to approve the rezoning from R6A Residential, R10 Residential & CD Conservancy District to MXD Mixed Use Development/CZ Conditional Zoning subject to the conditions within our packet and from this recommendation to be consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan which calls for “open space, suburban residential, mixed use development, and medium density mixed housing” the mixed use development designation allows for A1 Residential as shown on the site plan and in further finding approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested will require public utilities to be extended to an area not currently served and the submitted site plan shows a density in harmony with the surrounding existing zoning and land uses while preserving areas not suitable for development. The motion passed unanimously with Mrs. Epler and Mr. Manning abstaining from the vote.**

## REZONING CASES

- B. **P18-48.** REZONING OF 42.02+/- ACRES FROM A1 AGRICULTURAL & CD CONSERVANCY DISTRICT TO R40 RESIDENTIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED ON THE SOUTHWEST SIDE OF SR 2243 (ROSLIN FARM ROAD), EAST OF I-95; SUBMITTED BY CHRISTOPHER CATES ON BEHALF OF ROSLIN FARMS WEST, LLC (OWNER) & JAMES M. KIZER JR., PE ON BEHALF OF MOORMAN, KIZER & REITZEL, INC. (AGENT).

In Case P18-48, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from A1 Agricultural & CD Conservancy District to R40 Residential except for that portion located within the Special Flood Hazard Area and Floodway to be zoned CD Conservancy District and find this recommendation to be consistent with the South Central Land Use Plan (2015) which calls for "open space & farmland" at this location, the "farmland" designation allows for one unit per acre with suitable soils for septic and the "open space" designation allows for the protection of the Special Flood Hazard Area, and further find that approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning, existing land uses and lot sizes while preserving existing conditions within the Conservancy district.

**In Case P18-48, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Crumpler to approve the rezoning from A1 Agricultural & CD Conservancy District to R40 Residential except for that portion located within the Special Flood Hazard Area and Floodway to be zoned CD Conservancy District and find this recommendation to be consistent with the South Central Land Use Plan (2015) which calls for "open space & farmland" at this location, the "farmland" designation allows for one unit per acre with suitable soils for septic and the "open space" designation allows for the protection of the Special Flood Hazard Area, and further find that approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning, existing land uses and lot sizes while preserving existing conditions within the Conservancy district. Unanimous approval.**

- C. **P18-49.** REZONING OF 4.88+/- ACRES FROM RR RURAL RESIDENTIAL & R10 RESIDENTIAL TO R5 RESIDENTIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF SR 1003 (CAMDEN ROAD), EAST OF BROWN ROAD; SUBMITTED BY KYUNGSOOK JANG ON BEHALF OF SPRINGS PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, LLC (OWNER) & JEFFREY W. WRIGHT (AGENT).

In Case P18-49, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from RR Rural Residential & R10 Residential to R5 Residential and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the subject property meets the location criteria for "high density residential" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning.

**In Case P18-49, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Crumpler to recommend approval of the rezoning from RR Rural Residential & R10 Residential to R5 Residential and find: a) The approval is an amendment to the adopted current Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request; b) The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the subject property meets the location criteria for "high density residential" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009); c) And, this rezoning approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with the surrounding existing land uses and zoning. Unanimous approval.**

## IX. PUBLIC HEARING CONTESTED ITEMS

- D. **P18-37.** REZONING OF 29.89+/- ACRES FROM A1 AGRICULTURAL TO R40 RESIDENTIAL/CZ CONDITIONAL ZONING FOR A 20 LOT SUBDIVISION OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED ON THE WEST SIDE OF SR 1826 (WADE STEDMAN ROAD), SOUTH OF SR 1825 (DEERSTAND ROAD); SUBMITTED BY ERNEST A. BUNCE JR. (OWNER) & MIKE ADAMS ON BEHALF OF M.A.P.S. SURVEYING INC. (AGENT).

Ms. Speicher presented the case information and photos.

In Case P18-37, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends approval of the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to R40 Residential/CZ Conditional Zoning for a 20 lot subdivision subject to the conditions within our packet and find this recommendation to be consistent with the Eastover Land Use Plan (2018) which calls for "rural density residential" at this location, the "rural density residential" designation allows for one unit per acre and further find approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning and existing land uses.

There were people present to speak in favor and in opposition.

Mr. Wayne Younts spoke in favor. Mr Younts stated that he wanted to build houses on the subject property, he has built houses up and down Wade Stedman Road. But they can't build without paving the road if they go over four lots, they're going to have to pave, and the cost of paving and water systems is so prohibitive they need to be able to get a few more lots out of this piece of land so that they can cover the costs of developing the land.

Mr. Mike Adams spoke in favor. Mr. Adams stated that he has been a surveyor for Mr. Younts for years and has seen him build only fine quality housing, all the houses are over an acre.

Mr. Sean Garcia spoke in opposition. Mr. Garcia stated that he was strongly opposed to the rezoning. Two weeks after moving in they received a letter that there would be no changes to their property, then a few weeks later received another letter that stated their property would be rezoned as well. We never knew anything about it. Received another letter that stated their property would be left out of the rezoning but is strongly opposed to the rezoning because of increased traffic and population that this development could bring.

Mrs. Hannah Garcia spoke in opposition. Mrs. Garcia stated that she had concerns about not knowing everything that was going on, and then they found out there were restrictive covenants that they weren't aware of. Mrs. Garcia stated that she had a good conversation with Mr. Younts when he gave her the letter that included their property. Mrs. Garcia asked that if the request is approved if there can be stipulations on construction times.

Mrs. Epler asked Mrs. Garcia if they had an attorney at closing and if they received a copy of their restrictive covenants from their attorney.

Mrs. Garcia stated that the neighbors thought that the restrictive covenants had been removed. But they did not have a copy of the covenants.

Mrs. Epler advised Mrs. Garcia that when an attorney does a closing it is their responsibility to research the property and provide copies of any restrictive covenants to the buyers. It's not Mr. Younts fault, he put those covenants on that property when it was developed. The attorneys should have provided those.

Mrs. Epler said that in looking at the configuration of the property, where the front corner is curved and not straight, she asked Mrs. Garcia if her realtor told them that that may have been left there for a future street.

Mrs. Garcia stated that the realtor mentioned that the current zoning was agriculture but in the future at some point it might be used that way, but the realtor never had any information that that was occurring when they closed on the house.

Mr. Glen Felton spoke in opposition. Mr. Felton stated that he had questions, he wanted to know if there were going to be storm drain systems in place, road improvements, questions about house sizes, population growth, will there be more police presence.

Mr. Felton was advised that those were all questions for other agencies.

Mr. Tim Lancaster spoke in opposition. Mr. Lancaster stated that he was present for his dad. Mr. Lancaster stated that his dad felt that people should be able to do what they want with their property as long as it doesn't affect surrounding properties in a negative way. Some concerns are lack of communication Mr. Lancaster asked that house sizes and lot sizes be finalized before this is approved. Mr. Lancaster advised the board that the further back you go from Wade Stedman Road the less absorbent the ground is, there may be problems with erosion and run off, all of which could affect property values and septic and wells could be vulnerable.

Dr. Andrews asked Mr. Lancaster what the property looks like now at the back.

Mr. Lancaster stated that there is an irrigation pond in the back on another piece of property that he didn't see on any of the maps.

Dr. Andrews asked if the property swampy.

Mr. Lancaster stated that it was classified as wetlands.

Mr. Crumpler asked questions about the water and what it was like after rain in the area of the subject property.

Mr. Gary Felton stated that the water comes out of the wooded area towards Wade Stedman Road and the land is flat.

Mr. Younts spoke in rebuttal. Mr. Younts stated that he told the opposed that there would be no changes to the way they use their property. He also stated that there would also be restrictive covenants on the new properties for the protection of everybody there. He planted Leland Cypress trees as a barricade between the houses in the front and the ones in the back and says that he didn't mislead anyone.

Mr. Crumpler stated that he was concerned with how water will flow and asked if there were any plans for retention ponds.

Mr. Younts stated they haven't gotten that far but Department of Transportation (DOT) will require them if they have to have them.

Ms. Speicher pointed out condition number two for erosion control and condition number ten, the requirement for compliance with stormwater for Mr. Crumpler.

Public hearing closed.

**In case P18-37 Mr. Manning made a motion, seconded by Mr. Cain to recommend approval of the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to R40 Residential/CZ Conditional Zoning for a 20 lot subdivision subject to the conditions within our packet and find this recommendation to be consistent with the Eastover Land Use Plan (2018) which calls for "rural density residential" at this location, the "rural density residential" designation allows for one unit per acre and further find approval of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the district requested is in harmony with surrounding zoning and existing land uses. The motion passed with Dr. Evans voting in opposition.**

- E. **P18-44.** REVISION AND AMENDMENT TO THE HOPE MILLS SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE IV DEVELOPMENT IMPROVEMENT AND DESIGN STANDARDS, SECTION 86A-403. MINIMUM LOT STANDARDS, SUB-SECTION F. LOTS INTENDED FOR COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL USES; AND SECTION 86A-405. SIDEWALKS AND WALKWAYS, SUB-SECTION A. SIDEWALKS AND WALKWAYS REQUIRED; AND REVISION AND AMENDMENT TO THE HOPE MILLS ZONING ORDINANCE AMENDING ARTICLE XV PLANNED DISTRICTS, SECTION 102A-1502. DETAILED SITE PLAN SPECIFICATIONS; AND SECTION 102A-1503. SITE PLAN REVIEW; AND UPDATING THE TABLE OF CONTENTS AS APPROPRIATE. (HOPE MILLS)

Ms. Speicher presented the case information.

**BACKGROUND:** Proposed major changes to Hope Mills Subdivision Ordinance Article IV & Hope Mills Zoning Ordinance Article XV:

1. Lateral access will be required on all development of commercial and industrial zoned lots to adjacent compatible lots;
2. Sidewalks shall no longer be required for non-residential construction that does not propose renovations of more than 50 % of existing structure or does not propose any new construction while utilizing existing structure on a parcel.

**RECOMMENDATION:** In Case P18-44, the Planning & Inspections staff recommends approval of the portion of the text amendment to the Hope Mills Subdivision and Zoning Ordinance concerning lateral access finding it is consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan designated as the 2030 Growth Vision Plan, specifically including: *Policy Area 4: A Balanced Transportation System* and *Policy Area 9: Compatible Commercial Development*. The proposed amendment is also generally consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2014) in that one of the adopted goals and objectives of the plan strives to decrease travel times and improve the mobility of all residents while accommodating the movement of goods and services without congestion; and further find that approval of the amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because the amendment if approved, would increase shared access among commercial and industrial developments thus decreasing the number of direct access points to roads. The Planning & Inspections staff recommends denial of the text amendment to the Hope Mills Subdivision Ordinance concerning sidewalks and find it is not consistent with the 2030 Growth Vision Plan, specifically including: *Policy Area 2: Well-Managed Growth and Development*; *Policy Area 3: Infrastructure That Keeps Pace*; *Policy Area 4: A Balanced Transportation System*; *Policy Area 6: Expanded Parks and Recreation*; *Policy Area 11: A Healthy, Sustainable Environment*; and *Policy Area 12: Vibrant Downtown Areas*. The proposed amendment is also not consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2014) in that one of the adopted goals and objectives of the plan strives to increase pedestrian mobility to schools, parks, and downtown areas and pedestrian safety while providing sidewalks on both sides of thoroughfares and boulevards; and further find that denial of the amendment is reasonable and in the public interest because the provision of sidewalks and walkways within the town encourages citizens to participate in a healthier lifestyle by increasing their walking thus alleviating some traffic on town streets. This amendment was prepared and submitted by the Town of Hope Mills.

This proposed text amendment would not cause any changes to the Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan map.

There was one person present to speak in favor.

Mr. Chancer McLaughlin, Town of Hope Mills spoke in favor. Mr. McLaughlin stated that he was present to answer any questions that the Board may have. He explained the reasons why Hope Mills wanted the changes to the ordinance.

Public hearing closed.

**In Case P18-44, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Crumpler to recommend approval of the text amendment. The Board also requests that staff amend the Comprehensive Land Use Plan to reflect the approved changes. Unanimous approval.**

- F. **P18-35.** REZONING OF 21.56+/- ACRES FROM M(P) PLANNED INDUSTRIAL TO C(P) PLANNED COMMERCIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT; LOCATED ON BOTH SIDES OF LAZY ACRES STREET, EAST OF SR 2341 (CLAUDE LEE ROAD); SUBMITTED BY JOHN LEE, FRED LEE, JR. AND JAMES LEE (OWNERS) & JAMES C. ROBINSON (AGENT). **(Applicant has modified request to RR Rural Residential/CZ Conditional Zoning for a recreational vehicle park and/or campground)**

Ms. Speicher presented the case information and photos.

In Case P18-35, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends denial of the rezoning from M(P) Planned Industrial to RR Rural Residential/CZ Conditional Zoning for a recreational vehicle park and/or campground and find it is not consistent with the adopted South Central Land Use Plan (2015) which calls for "Airport Oriented Uses" at this location, the "Airport Oriented Uses" designation defines critical areas around the airport based on safety and the protection of citizens; and further find that denial of the request is reasonable and in the public interest because the level of density shown on the site plan is likely to create a situation that would not be compatible with airport operations at the southern end of the runway and the property lacks access to an approved street.

There were people present to speak in favor and opposition.

Mr. James Robinson spoke in favor. Mr. Robinson stated that he had a fifty site RV park, there are no permanent campers and no permanent rv's his business was mostly related to Ft. Bragg with people visiting people who stay on base. He needs to add about twenty sites and agrees to all of the conditions.

Bradley Whitted, representing the Fayetteville Airport spoke in opposition. Mr. Whitted stated that they worked very hard with Planning to develop an airport overlay district and also participated in the Southcentral Study Plan and worked hard on compatibility between the airport and its neighbors. This request is about density, height restrictions, storm water, exterior lighting standards that could shine up into the aircraft and hopes that these factors will be considered.

Public hearing closed.

**In Case P18-35 Ms. Hall made a motion, seconded by Mrs. McLaughlin to defer this case until February 19, 2019 to give the applicant time to work with staff. Unanimous approval.**

- G. **P18-46.** REZONING OF 3.00+/- ACRES FROM R10 RESIDENTIAL & CD CONSERVANCY DISTRICT TO R6A RESIDENTIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 5187 & 5175 LAKEWOOD DRIVE, SUBMITTED BY WAREES & ASUMPTER WAREES (OWNERS).

Ms. Speicher presented the case information and photos and read the modified staff recommendation into the record.

In Case P18-46, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends denial of the rezoning from R10 Residential & CD Conservancy District to R6A Residential; however, staff does recommend rezoning the area located within the Special Flood Hazard Area and Floodway to CD Conservancy with the remaining subject property being rezoned to R6; and find:

- a. This recommendation is an amendment to the adopted current Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) map; and that the Board of Commissioners should not require any additional request or application for amendment to said map for this request;
- b. The following change in conditions was considered in amending the zoning ordinance (zoning map) to meet the development needs of the community: the subject property meets the location criteria for "medium density residential" as defined in the Land Use Policies Plan (2009);
- c) And, this rezoning to R6 and CD approval is reasonable and in the public interest because the district recommended will allow for some, albeit limited, development of the subject property while ensuring the preservation of the area within the flood.

Note: The requested R6A Residential district is consistent with the Southwest Cumberland Plan since it is classified as Medium Density Residential, but the land uses allowed within the R6A district are not compatible with the existing land uses within the general area.

There were people present to speak in favor and in opposition.

Mr. Warees spoke in favor. Mr. Warees advised the Board of what he wanted to do with the subject property and talked about other improvements that he had done from his job to his neighborhood.

Mr. Andrew Faircloth spoke in opposition. Mr. Faircloth stated that he had flooding concerns because anymore flooding in the area will affect property values.

**In Case P18-46, Chair Morris made a motion, seconded by Dr. Andrews to recommend denial of the rezoning from R10 Residential & CD Conservancy District to R6A Residential and find it is not consistent with the adopted Southwest Cumberland Land Use Plan (2013) which calls for “low density residential & open space” at this location, the “open space” designation is designed to preserve area within the Special Flood Hazard Area; and further find that denial of the rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest because the land uses allowed within the R6A Residential district are not compatible with the existing land uses within the general area. Unanimous approval.**

- H. **P18-50. REZONING OF .47+/- ACRES FROM A1 AGRICULTURAL TO R6A RESIDENTIAL OR TO A MORE RESTRICTIVE ZONING DISTRICT, LOCATED AT 315 JOHN B CARTER ROAD; SUBMITTED BY MARY K. WHITTED, BRENDA WILLIAMS, DEBORAH WRIGHT, DELLA W. PERKINS & BETTY JAMES ON BEHALF OF LILLIE MAE WHITTED HEIRS (OWNER).**

Ms. Speicher presented the case information and photos.

In Case P18-50, the Planning and Inspections Staff recommends to deny the rezoning from A1 Agricultural to R6A Residential and find it is not consistent with the adopted Vander Land Use Plan (2017) which calls for “suburban density residential” at this location; and further find that denial of the rezoning is reasonable and in the public interest because the subject property lacks access to public sewer and the district requested is not in harmony with surrounding existing zoning.

There was one person present to speak in favor.

Ms. Whitted spoke in favor. Ms. Whitted stated that Hurricane Florence destroyed her home and now needs to move a trailer onto her mother’s land.

**In Case P18-50, Mrs. Epler made a motion, seconded by Mr. Manning to defer the case until January 15, 2019 to give the applicant time to talk with the Health Department. Unanimous approval.**

## X. DISCUSSION

The Board was given copies of the revised Interlocal Agreement, that was adopted by the Board of Commissioners on November 19, 2018 and adopted on December 17, 2018 by the Town of Hope Mills. This was the first time many of the Planning Board members saw the document even though it had been distributed to all the towns for signature. Chair Morris stated that he had been receiving calls from the different towns about this and wanted to make sure it got to the Planning Board because the calls are starting to come in and the Board needed to be aware of what was going on, because it has not been before the board until today.

Chair Morris stated that a copy of the letter he received from the County Manager was a copy of letter to the Town of Eastover which asked them to review the agreement and sign it.

Mrs. Epler asked if there was anything they could do about it.

Chair Morris stated it was up to the towns.

Mrs. McLaughlin said that they should talk to their towns.

XI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:10 pm.